COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20231

Paper No. 8

Law Office of Thomas Schneck PO Box 2-E San Jose, CA 95109-0005

**COPY MAILED** 

MAR 1 7 2003

In re Application of

Worlfolk, Plotkin, Ravid-Rabinovitz, and Aaronson

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

Application No.: 10/056,178 Filed: January 22, 2002

DECISION ACCORDING STATUS UNDER RULE

Attorney Docket No: CALY-012 CIP

47(a)

For: MULTI-PATH ROUTING DYNAMIC ALGORITHM

This is in response to the renewed petition under 37 CFR 1.47(a), filed March 11, 2003, and a response to the petition under 37 CFR 1.137(a).

The petition under 37 CFR 1.47(a) is **GRANTED**.

The petition under 37 CFR 1.137(a) is **MOOT**.

## **TREATMENT UNDER 37 CFR 1.47(a)**

The above-cited application was file dwithout a properly executed oath or declaration. A "Notice to File Missing Parts of Nonprovisional Application ("Notice") was mailed on February 28, 2002, which indicated that a proper declaration under 37 CFR 1.63 was missing. The Notice set forth a shortened period for reply of two months from its mailing date. Extensions of time where available pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a). The instant petition was filed on August 19, 2002, and an four month exetnsion of time obtained.

Petitioner has established that the non-signing inventor was presented with a complete copy of the application papers, but has constructively refused to join the filing of the above-identified application.

The above-identified application and papers have been reviewed and found in compliance with 37 CFR 1.47(a). This application is hereby accorded Rule 1.47(a) status.

As provided in Rule 1.47(c), this Office will forward notice of this application's filing to the non-signing inventor at the address given in the petition. Notice of the filing of this application will also be published in the Official Gazette.

## TREATMENT UNDER 37 CFR 1.137(a)

The decision of January 7, 2003, dismissing the petition under 37 CFR 1.47(a) filed August 19, 2002, allowed a two month period (beginning from the mailing date of the dismissal decision) during which petitioner could file a renewed petition. The instant renewed petition was filed on March 11, 2003, with a certifitcate of mailing dated March 6, 2003.

The instant renewed petition was timely filed and has been granted; it, therefore, represents a proper

response to the Notice. There is, therefore, no remedy that could be provided by a petition under 37 CFR 1.137(a) as the application is not abandoned. The petition under 37 CFR 1.137(a) is rendered moot. accordingly.

This application will be forwarded to the Office of Initial Patent Examination for further processing.

Telephone inquiries should be directed to the undersigned at (703) 305-0010.

Kenya A. McLaughlin

Petitions Attorney

Office of Petitions